

Knowledge to Action for Quality Improvement

We are giving a good effort on trying to improve the manuscript submitted by the authors in our PMJN. Sometimes there is delay in processing and publication due to various reasons. Every journal has its own format. Author should follow its guidelines. We generally receive the scientific paper in a diverse style of writing which generally prolongs the process of reviewing and publication. There may be many factors as the authors are not aware of the respective medical journal style, the guideline may not be user friendly, the author may not know it or they are neglecting the rules.

Submitting the paper is not just for getting the academic promotion but it is also for developing the academic carrier. We are not thinking such fact we can get such reasons for publishing paper more in favor of promotion than in disseminating knowledge and reporting genuine research.

The main causes of rejection (not accepting) in highly rated journals are lack of objective; irrelevant and unimportant subject matter; questionable and flawed methodology; lack of originality and inadequate “packaging” of the report. The causes are not usually related to editorial process. Most of delays are related to the author.

To overcome these problems and to make them familiar with the review process training on scientific paper writing, guideline for author and check lists are helpful tools for author, editorial assistant and even to editors. We are using such stools and trying to improve the quality of papers. Feedback from author and readers can open the rooms for improvement and we always appreciate such positive feedbacks for improvement. It also helps to run the review process smoothly and to get the paper published in a desire time period. Sending back the manuscript to rewrite or to reject is not for disheartened to author but taking opportunity for improvement or for betterment. We have gain the knowledge how to improve the quality of papers but now time has come to use this knowledge in to action for quality improvement.

DR. PEYUSH DAHAL

Chief Editor

REFERENCE

- ^{1,2} O. G. Ajao. Some Reasons for Manuscript Rejection by Peer-Reviewed Journals. Annals of Ibadan Postgraduate Medicine. Vol.3 No 2 December, 2005;9-12.